

Hankel operators on Bergman spaces.

Omar El-Fallah

joint work with M. Bourass, I. Marrhich and H. Naqos

Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (LAMA) (CeReMaR)
Mohammed 5 University in Rabat

Interuniversity Geometry Seminar

2022-04-08

Introduction

Let $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit disc \mathbb{D} in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and let dA denote the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . The standard Bergman space A_α^2 , $\alpha > -1$, is given by

$$A_\alpha^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

where $dA_\alpha(z) := (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$. Recall that A_α^2 is a reproducing Kernel Hilbert space with the kernel

$$K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^{\alpha+2}}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The orthogonal projection from $L^2_\alpha := L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ onto A^2_α will be denoted by P_α .

$$P_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) K(z, w) dA_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(w).$$

The orthogonal projection from $L^2_\alpha := L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ onto A^2_α will be denoted by P_α .

$$P_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) K(z, w) dA_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(w).$$

Let $\phi \in A^2_\alpha$. The linear transformation $H_{\overline{\phi}} = M_{\overline{\phi}} - P_\alpha M_{\overline{\phi}}$

$$H_{\overline{\phi}} f = \overline{\phi} f - P_\alpha(\overline{\phi} f),$$

is densely defined operator from A^2_α into $L^2_\alpha \ominus A^2_\alpha$ which is called the (big) Hankel operator with symbol $\overline{\phi}$. An integral formula of $H_{\overline{\phi}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\overline{\phi}} f(z) &= \overline{\phi}(z) \langle f, K_z \rangle - \langle \overline{\phi} f, K_z \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{\phi(z)} - \overline{\phi(w)}}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^{\alpha+2}} f(w) dA_\alpha(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Axler ('86) proved that H_{ϕ} is bounded on A_{α}^2 if and only if ϕ belongs to the Bloch space \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)| < \infty\}.$$

Axler ('86) proved that H_{ϕ} is bounded on A_{α}^2 if and only if ϕ belongs to the Bloch space \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{|z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2) |\phi'(z)| < \infty\}.$$

And H_{ϕ} is compact on A_{α}^2 if and only if ϕ belongs to the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2) |\phi'(z)| = 0\}.$$

Axler ('86) proved that $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is bounded on A_{α}^2 if and only if ϕ belongs to the Bloch space \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)| < \infty\}.$$

And $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is compact on A_{α}^2 if and only if ϕ belongs to the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)| = 0\}.$$

It is also easy to see that $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is a Hilbert Schmidt operator if and only if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, where

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \phi' \in L^2(\mathbb{D})\},$$

is the Dirichlet space.

Idea of the proof :

The function $u_f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{1+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{1+\alpha}} dA(w)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}u_f = f$.

We have

$$\bar{\partial}H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f) = \bar{\partial}(\bar{\Phi}f - P_{\alpha}(\bar{\Phi})) = \bar{\Phi}'f \quad (\star),$$

and $H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f)$ is the minimal solution (in L_{α}^2) of (\star) . Then

$$\|H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f)\|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 |\phi'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^2(z) dA_{\alpha}(z) = \|J_{\mu_{\phi}} f\|^2,$$

where

$$d\mu_{\phi}(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\phi'(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha}(z),$$

and $J_{\mu_{\phi}}$ is the embedding operator from A_{α}^2 into $L^2(\mu_{\phi})$.

For the converse, it suffices to remark that

$$(H_{\bar{\Phi}}K_a)(z) = (\bar{\Phi}(z) - \bar{\Phi}(a))K_a(z), \quad z, a \in \Omega.$$

1988, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre proved that if $p > 1$, $H_{\phi} \in S_p$ if and only if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_p$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_p := \left\{ \phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^p |\phi'(z)|^p \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty \right\}$$

is a Besov space.

1988, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre proved that if $p > 1$, $H_{\overline{\phi}} \in S_p$ if and only if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_p$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_p := \left\{ \phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^p |\phi'(z)|^p \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty \right\}$$

is a Besov space.

They also proved that if $H_{\overline{\phi}} \in S_1$, then $H_{\overline{\phi}} = 0$, that is $\phi = \text{cst}$.

1988, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre proved that if $p > 1$, $H_{\overline{\phi}} \in S_p$ if and only if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_p$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_p := \left\{ \phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^p |\phi'(z)|^p \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty \right\}$$

is a Besov space.

They also proved that if $H_{\overline{\phi}} \in S_1$, then $H_{\overline{\phi}} = 0$, that is $\phi = \text{cst}$.

On the other hand they proved that if $\phi'' \in L^1(dA)$ then

$$\sigma_n(H_{\overline{\phi}}) := \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(H_{\overline{\phi}}) = O(\log(n+2)).$$

1988, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre proved that if $p > 1$, $H_{\Phi} \in S_p$ if and only if $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_p$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_p := \left\{ \Phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^p |\Phi'(z)|^p \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty \right\}$$

is a Besov space.

They also proved that if $H_{\Phi} \in S_1$, then $H_{\Phi} = 0$, that is $\Phi = \text{cst}$.

On the other hand they proved that if $\Phi'' \in L^1(dA)$ then

$$\sigma_n(H_{\Phi}) := \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(H_{\Phi}) = O(\log(n+2)).$$

In this talk we are interested in the behavior of the singular values of H_{Φ} ;

$$s_n(H_{\Phi}) \asymp ? \quad (\text{in terms of } \Phi).$$

Weighted Bergman spaces

Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{C} . We denote by $\text{Hol}(\Omega)$ the class of all holomorphic functions on Ω .

Let $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous weight on Ω .

The weighted Bergman space associated with ω is given by

$$A_{\omega}^2 = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\omega} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 dA_{\omega}(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty\},$$

where $dA_{\omega}(z) = \omega(z)dA(z)$.

A_{ω}^2 is a reproducing Kernel space. The Kernel of A_{ω}^2 will be denoted by K .

The Hankel operator $H_{\bar{\phi}}$, acting on A_{ω}^2 , induced by $\phi \in \text{Hol}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$H_{\bar{\phi}}(f) = \bar{\phi}f - P_{\omega}(\bar{\phi}f).$$

We suppose in the sequel that $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is densely defined on A_{ω}^2 .

The class of weights \mathcal{W} .

Let Ω be a domain (bounded or not) of \mathbb{C} and let $\partial\Omega$ denotes the boundary of Ω .

Let $\partial_\infty\Omega = \partial\Omega$ if Ω is bounded and $\partial_\infty\Omega = \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$ if Ω is not bounded.

In what follows, we suppose that the kernel K of A_ω^2 satisfies

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial_\infty\Omega} \|K_z\| = \infty \quad (1)$$

$$\text{For every } \zeta \in \Omega, \quad |K(\zeta, z)| = o(\|K_z\|) \quad (z \rightarrow \partial_\infty\Omega). \quad (2)$$

Let

$$\tau^2(z) (= \tau_\omega^2(z)) := \frac{1}{\omega(z)\|K_z\|^2}, \quad (z \in \Omega).$$

We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ if, in addition, there exist two constants $a, C > 0$ such that for $z, \zeta \in \Omega$ satisfying $|z - \zeta| \leq a\tau_\omega(z)$ we have :

$$\|K_z\| \|K_\zeta\| \leq C |K(\zeta, z)|, \quad \frac{1}{C} \tau(\zeta) \leq \tau(z) \leq C \tau(\zeta). \quad (3)$$

and

$$\tau(z) = O(\min(1, \text{dist}(z, \partial_\infty\Omega))). \quad (4)$$

Examples

- Standard Bergman spaces on the unit disc \mathbb{D} .

$$A_{\alpha}^2 := \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\alpha}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha}(z) < \infty \right\}, \text{ where } (\alpha > -1).$$

The reproducing kernel is given by

$$K_z^{\alpha}(w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}, \quad \tau(z) \asymp 1 - |z|^2.$$

Examples

- ▶ Standard Bergman spaces on the unit disc \mathbb{D} .

$$A_\alpha^2 := \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) < \infty \right\}, \text{ where } (\alpha > -1).$$

The reproducing kernel is given by

$$K_z^\alpha(w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+\alpha}}, \quad \tau(z) \asymp 1 - |z|^2.$$

- ▶ Weighted Bergman spaces on \mathbb{D} . Let $\omega = e^{-\varphi}$ be such that φ is sub-harmonic weight on \mathbb{D} and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta\varphi}}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition. Then $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\tau_\omega^2(z) = \frac{1}{\|K_z^\omega\|^2 \omega(z)} \asymp \frac{1}{\Delta\varphi(z)}.$$

(Hu, Lv and Schuster, J. F. A. (2019) and references therein)

Examples

- ▶ Standard Bergman spaces on the unit disc \mathbb{D} .

$$A_{\alpha}^2 := \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\alpha}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha}(z) < \infty \right\}, \text{ where } (\alpha > -1).$$

The reproducing kernel is given by

$$K_z^{\alpha}(w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}, \quad \tau(z) \asymp 1 - |z|^2.$$

- ▶ Weighted Bergman spaces on \mathbb{D} . Let $\omega = e^{-\varphi}$ be such that φ is sub-harmonic weight on \mathbb{D} and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta\varphi}}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition. Then $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\tau_{\omega}^2(z) = \frac{1}{\|K_z^{\omega}\|^2 \omega(z)} \asymp \frac{1}{\Delta\varphi(z)}.$$

(Hu, Lv and Schuster, J. F. A. (2019) and references therein)

- ▶ Harmonically weighted Bergman spaces on \mathbb{D} . Let $\omega > 0$ and harmonic. One can prove that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ and

$$\tau(z) \asymp 1 - |z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

(O.E, I. Marhrich, H. Mahzouli and H. Naqos J.M.A.A 2018)

Theorem

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$. We have

1. $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is bounded on A_{ω}^2 if and only if $\sup_{z \in \Omega} \tau(z) |\phi'(z)| < \infty$.
2. $H_{\bar{\phi}}$ is compact on A_{ω}^2 if and only if $\lim_{z \in \Omega \rightarrow \partial_{\infty} \Omega} \tau(z) |\phi'(z)| = 0$.
3. Let $p \geq 1$. Then $H_{\bar{\phi}} \in S_p(A_{\omega}^2)$ if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\phi'(z)|^p \tau^{p-2}(z) dA(z) < \infty.$$

Starting point

Lemma

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}, (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be two decreasing sequences such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ and that $(n^\gamma b_n)$ is increasing for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Suppose that there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} h(b_n/B) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} h(a_n) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} h(Bb_n),$$

for all increasing convex function h . Then

$$a_n \asymp b_n.$$

That is, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$b_n/C \leq a_n \leq Cb_n.$$

We will use the lemma as follows.

Lemma

Let $p \geq 1$ and let $\rho : [0, +\infty] \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ be an increasing positive function such that $\rho(x)/x^\gamma$ is decreasing for some $\gamma \in (0, p)$. Let T be a positive compact operator. Suppose that there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} h\left(\frac{1}{B\rho(n)}\right) \leq \text{Tr}(h(T)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} h(\lambda_n(T)) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} h\left(\frac{B}{\rho(n)}\right),$$

for all increasing functions h such that $h(t^p)$ is convex. Then

$$\lambda_n(T) \asymp 1/\rho(n).$$

Toeplitz operators

The Toeplitz operator T_μ , acting on A_ω^2 , induced by a positive Borel measure μ on Ω is given by

$$T_\mu f(z) = \int_\Omega f(\zeta) K(z, \zeta) \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

Note that

$$\langle T_\mu f, f \rangle = \int_\Omega |f(\zeta)|^2 \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

It is known that for $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, there exist $B, \delta > 0$ and $(z_n)_n \subset \Omega$ such that

- ▶ $(D(z_n, \delta \tau_\omega(z_n)))_n$ is a covering of Ω of finite multiplicity.
- ▶ $D(z_n, \frac{\delta}{B} \tau_\omega(z_n))$ are pairwise disjoint.

Such family $(D(z_n, \delta \tau_\omega(z_n)))_n$ is called a Lattice of Ω with respect to ω .

Boundedness and compactness :

Fix a lattice $(R_n)_n$ of Ω with respect to ω . One can see that

- ▶ T_μ is bounded $\iff \mu(R_n)/A(R_n)$ is bounded.
- ▶ T_μ is compact $\iff \mu(R_n)/A(R_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Boundedness and compactness :

Fix a lattice $(R_n)_n$ of Ω with respect to ω . One can see that

► T_μ is bounded $\iff \mu(R_n)/A(R_n)$ is bounded.

► T_μ is compact $\iff \mu(R_n)/A(R_n) \rightarrow 0$.

The key of the proof is the following mean inequality

$$|f(\zeta)|^2 \omega(\zeta) \lesssim \frac{1}{A(R_n)} \int_{bR_n} |f(z)|^2 \omega(z) dA(z) \quad (\zeta \in R_n, b > 1),$$

with the notation $(bD(z, r) = D(z, br))$.

If T_μ is compact, $(a_n(\mu))_n$ will denote a decreasing rearrangement of $(\mu(R_n)/A(R_n))_n$.

Trace estimates for Toeplitz operators

Theorem (O. E. and M. Elibbaoui 2018)

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on Ω s.t. T_μ is compact on A_ω^2 . Let h be increasing, $h(0) = 0$ and $h(t^p)$ is convex for some $p \geq 1$. Then, there exists $B > 1$, which depends on ω and p s.t.

$$\sum_n h\left(\frac{1}{B} a_n(\mu)\right) \leq \sum_n h(\lambda_n(T_\mu)) \leq \sum_n h(B a_n(\mu)).$$

Trace estimates for Toeplitz operators

Theorem (O. E. and M. Elibbaoui 2018)

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on Ω s.t. T_μ is compact on A_ω^2 . Let h be increasing, $h(0) = 0$ and $h(t^p)$ is convex for some $p \geq 1$. Then, there exists $B > 1$, which depends on ω and p s.t.

$$\sum_n h\left(\frac{1}{B}a_n(\mu)\right) \leq \sum_n h(\lambda_n(T_\mu)) \leq \sum_n h(Ba_n(\mu)).$$

As consequence we obtain

Theorem

Let $A > 0$ and let ρ be an increasing positive function s.t. $\rho(x)/x^A$ is decreasing for some $A > 0$.

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on Ω such that T_μ is compact. Then

1. $\lambda_n(T_\mu) = O(1/\rho(n)) \iff a_n(\mu) \asymp O(1/\rho(n)).$

2. $\lambda_n(T_\mu) \asymp 1/\rho(n) \iff a_n(\mu) \asymp 1/\rho(n).$

Remarks

- The growth condition on ρ is, in some sense, necessary. Indeed, let

$$\rho_{\beta,c}(n) = \exp(-c \log^{\beta} n) \quad \beta, c > 0.$$

From Theorem A, it is clear that if $\beta \leq 1$ then

$$\lambda_n(T_{\mu}) \asymp 1/\rho_{\beta,c}(n) \iff a_n(\mu) \asymp 1/\rho_{\beta,c}(n).$$

While, if $\beta > 1$ one can construct a Toeplitz operator T_{μ} such that

$$\lambda_n(T_{\mu}) \asymp 1/\rho_{\beta,c}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n(T_{\mu})}{a_n(\mu)} = +\infty.$$

- One can construct two positive Borel measures μ and ν on the unit disc \mathbb{D} such that

$$a_n(\mu) = a_n(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(T_{\mu})/\lambda_n(T_{\nu}) = \infty.$$

So, it is somewhat surprising that the behavior of $\lambda_n(T_{\mu})$, in our case, depends only on $a_n(\mu)$ and not on the positions of $(R_n(\mu))$.

Trace estimates for Hankel operators

Notations :

$$d\lambda_{\omega}(z) := \frac{dA(z)}{\tau_{\omega}^2(z)}, \quad |T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem

Suppose that H_{Φ} is compact and let h be an increasing convex function such that $h(0) = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} h\left(\frac{1}{B}|\phi'(z)|\tau_{\omega}(z)\right) d\lambda_{\omega}(z) \leq \text{Tr}\left(h(|H_{\Phi}|)\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} h(B|\phi'(z)|\tau_{\omega}(z)) d\lambda_{\omega}(z),$$

where the constant $B > 0$ depends only on ω .

Idea of the proof :

Upper estimate :
$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(h(|H_{\bar{\Phi}}|) \right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(B|\phi'(z)|\tau_{\omega}(z)) d\lambda_{\omega}(z).$$

We have

$$\bar{\partial} H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f) = \bar{\partial}(\bar{\Phi}f - P_{\omega}(\bar{\Phi})) = \bar{\Phi}'f \quad (*).$$

Then $H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f)$ is the minimal solution (in L^2_{ω}) of $(*)$. Hörmander type estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ -equation , imply

$$\|H_{\bar{\Phi}}(f)\|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 |\phi'(z)|^2 \tau_{\omega}^2(z) dA_{\omega}(z).$$

This means that

$$H_{\bar{\Phi}}^* H_{\bar{\Phi}} \lesssim T_{\mu_{\phi}}, \quad \text{where } d\mu_{\phi}(z) = \tau_{\omega}^2(z) |\phi'(z)|^2 dA_{\omega}(z).$$

Then

$$s_n^2(H_{\bar{\Phi}}) \lesssim \lambda_n(T_{\mu_{\phi}}).$$

Lower estimate :
$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} h\left(\frac{1}{B}|\phi'(z)|\tau_{\omega}(z)\right) d\lambda_{\omega}(z) \leq \text{Tr}\left(h(|H_{\bar{\phi}}|)\right)$$

We have

$$(H_{\bar{\phi}}K_a)(z) = (\bar{\phi}(z) - \bar{\phi}(a))K_a(z), \quad z, a \in \Omega.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tau_{\omega}(z)|\phi'(z)|) &\lesssim \int_{bR_n} \int_{bR_n} h(|\phi(\zeta) - \phi(w)|) d\lambda_{\omega}(\zeta) d\lambda_{\omega}(w). \\ &\lesssim \int_{bR_n} \int_{bR_n} h\left(\frac{|H_{\bar{\phi}}K_{\zeta}(w)|}{|K(\zeta, w)|}\right) d\lambda_{\omega}(\zeta) d\lambda_{\omega}(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{bR_n} \int_{bR_n} h\left(\frac{|H_{\bar{\phi}}K_{\zeta}(w)|}{\|K_{\zeta}\| \|K_w\|}\right) d\lambda_{\omega}(\zeta) d\lambda_{\omega}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Let $H_{\bar{\phi}}K_{\zeta}(w) = \sum_n s_n \overline{f_n(\zeta)} g_n(w)$. We have

$$h\left(\frac{|H_{\bar{\phi}}K_{\zeta}(w)|}{\|K_{\zeta}\| \|K_w\|}\right) \leq h\left(\sum_k s_k \frac{|f_k(\zeta)|}{\|K_{\zeta}\|} \frac{|g_k(w)|}{\|K_w\|}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left(\frac{|f_k(\zeta)|^2}{\|K_{\zeta}\|^2} + \frac{|g_k(w)|^2}{\|K_w\|^2}\right) h(s_k).$$

Combining these inequalities, and after integration we obtain the result.

Consequence

Let $R_{\phi,\omega}^+$ be the decreasing rearrangement of $\tau_\omega|\phi'|$ with respect to λ_ω . Namely,

$$R_{\phi,\omega}^+(x) := \sup\{t \in (0, \|\tau\phi'\|_\infty] : R_{\phi,\omega}(t) \geq x\},$$

where

$$R_{\phi,\omega}(t) := \lambda_\omega(\{z \in \Omega : \tau_\omega(z)|\phi'(z)| > t\}).$$

Remark that

$$\int_{\Omega} h(|\phi'(z)|\tau_\omega(z)) d\lambda_\omega(z) \asymp \sum_n h(R_{\phi,\omega}^+(n))$$

Consequence

Let $R_{\phi,\omega}^+$ be the decreasing rearrangement of $\tau_\omega|\phi'|$ with respect to λ_ω . Namely,

$$R_{\phi,\omega}^+(x) := \sup\{t \in (0, \|\tau\phi'\|_\infty] : R_{\phi,\omega}(t) \geq x\},$$

where

$$R_{\phi,\omega}(t) := \lambda_\omega(\{z \in \Omega : \tau_\omega(z)|\phi'(z)| > t\}).$$

Remark that

$$\int_{\Omega} h(|\phi'(z)|\tau_\omega(z)) d\lambda_\omega(z) \asymp \sum_n h(R_{\phi,\omega}^+(n))$$

Theorem

Let ρ be an increasing function such that $\rho(x)/x^\gamma$ is decreasing for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ then

$$s_n(H_{\overline{\phi}}) \asymp 1/\rho(n) \iff R_{\phi,\omega}^+(n) \asymp 1/\rho(n).$$

Radial weighted Bergman spaces on the unit disc

The singular values of $H_{\bar{z}}$ will play an important role in the study of the decay of singular values of Hankel operators with anti-analytic symbols. Note that in the radial case we have

$$H_{\bar{z}}^* H_{\bar{z}} \left(\frac{z^n}{\|z^n\|} \right) = \left(\frac{\|z^{n+1}\|^2}{\|z^n\|^2} - \frac{\|z^n\|^2}{\|z^{n-1}\|^2} \right) \frac{z^n}{\|z^n\|} =: m_{\omega}(n) \frac{z^n}{\|z^n\|}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

So, the sequence of the singular values of $H_{\bar{z}}$ is exactly the sequence $(\sqrt{m_{\omega}(n)})_{n \geq 1}$.

The standard Bergman spaces A_{α}^2 , which correspond to $\omega_{\alpha}(z) = (1 + \alpha)(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha > -1$. In this case, we have

$$\|z^n\|_{\omega_{\alpha}}^2 = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)},$$

and

$$m_{\omega_{\alpha}}(n) = \frac{\alpha+1}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)} \sim \frac{\alpha+1}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Then

$$s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}{n+1}.$$

Let $\beta \geq 0$ and let ω be such that $\tau_\omega^2(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$, one can consider for example

$$\omega(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \exp\left(-(1 - |z|^2)^{-\beta}\right), \quad \alpha > -1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{z,\omega}(t) &= \lambda_\omega\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \tau^2(z) \geq t\} \\ &\asymp \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \tau^2(z) \geq t\}} \frac{dA}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}} \\ &\asymp \int_{\{r \in (0,1) : (1-r)^{2+\beta} \geq t\}} \frac{dr}{(1-r)^{2+\beta}} \\ &\asymp t^{-\frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$R_{z,\omega}^+(t) \asymp \frac{1}{t^{1/p}}, \quad \text{where } p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta},$$

and

$$\sqrt{m_\omega(n)} = s_n(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \asymp \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ be a radial weight. Let ϕ be an analytic function such that $H_{\overline{\phi}}$ is compact. Then

$$s_n(H_{\overline{\phi}}) = o(s_n(H_{\overline{z}})) \implies H_{\overline{\phi}} = 0.$$

Suppose that $\tau_{\omega}(z) \asymp (2 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$, then

$$s_n(H_{\overline{\phi}}) = o(1/n^{1/p}) \implies H_{\overline{\phi}} = 0.$$

Here $p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta}$.

Now we are interested in the description of the class of symbols ϕ such that

$$s_n(H_{\bar{\phi}}) = O(s_n(H_{\bar{z}})).$$

Now we are interested in the description of the class of symbols ϕ such that

$$s_n(H_{\bar{\phi}}) = O(s_n(H_{\bar{z}})).$$

Theorem

Let $\beta \geq 0$ and let ω such that $\tau_{\omega}^2(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$. Then,



$$s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \asymp 1/n^{1/p}, \quad p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta}.$$



$$s_n(H_{\bar{\phi}}) = O(1/n^{1/p}) \iff \phi' \in H^p.$$

Idea of the proof of : $\phi' \in H^p \implies s_n(H_{\phi}^-) = O(1/n^{1/p})$.

It suffices to prove that $R_{\Phi, \omega}^+(x) = O(1/x^p)$. That is $R_{\Phi, \omega}(t) = O(1/t^p)$.

Let U be the non tangential maximal function associated with $|\phi'|$. It satisfies

$$|\phi'(re^{i\theta})| \leq U(e^{i\theta}) \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T} \text{ and } U \in L^p.$$

Then

$$R_{\Phi, \omega}(t) = \lambda_{\omega}(\{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : \tau_{\omega}(r)|\phi'(re^{i\theta})| \geq t\})$$

$$\leq \lambda_{\omega}(\{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : \tau_{\omega}(r)|U(e^{i\theta})| \geq t\})$$

$$= \int_{\{re^{i\theta} : \tau_{\omega}(r)|U(e^{i\theta})| \geq t\}} \frac{dr}{\tau_{\omega}^2(r)} d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\{r : (1-r)^{1+\beta/2} \geq C \frac{t}{|U(e^{i\theta})|}\}} \frac{dr}{(1-r)^{2+\beta}} d\theta \asymp \frac{\|U\|_p^p}{t^p}.$$

Asymptotics

Pb : Is it possible to obtain the exact asymptotic behavior of $s_n(H_\phi)$ for some particular ϕ ?

First let $\phi(z) = z$. For a radial weight ω , the sequence of the singular values of $H_{\bar{z}}$ is

$$\left(\left(\frac{\|z^{n+1}\|^2}{\|z^n\|^2} - \frac{\|z^n\|^2}{\|z^{n-1}\|^2} \right)^{1/2} \right)_n.$$

- ▶ The standard Bergman space $\omega_\alpha(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$:

$$s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}{n+1}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- ▶ For the weight ω given by

$$\omega(z) = \exp \left(- \frac{\alpha}{(\log \frac{1}{|z|^2})^\beta} \right), \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

Recall that $\tau_\omega^2(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$ and $s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \asymp \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}$, where $p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^n\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{(\log \frac{1}{|z|^2})^\beta}\right) dA(z) = \int_0^1 r^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{(\log \frac{1}{r^2})^\beta}\right) 2r dr \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-(n+1)x - \frac{\alpha}{x^\beta}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_n := \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{n+1}\right)^{1/1+\beta}$ be the minimum of the function $(n+1)x + \frac{\alpha}{x^\beta}$. After the change of variable $u = \frac{x-x_n}{x_n}$, we get

$$\|z^n\|^2 = x_n \exp\left(-(n+1)x_n - \frac{\alpha}{x_n^\beta}\right) \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{x_n^\beta} h(u)\right) du,$$

where $h(u) = \beta u + \frac{1}{(1+u)^\beta} - 1$. Then Laplace Theorem, gives

$$\int_{-1}^{+\infty} \exp(-th(u)) du \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{th''(0)}}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$s_n(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \sim \frac{\gamma}{n^{\frac{\beta+2}{2(\beta+1)}}}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha\beta)^{1/1+\beta}}{1+\beta}}.$$

Theorem

Let $\beta \geq 0$ and let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ be a radial weight such that $\tau_\omega^2(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$. Then,

$$s_n(H_{\bar{\phi}}) = O(1/n^{1/p}) \iff \phi' \in H^p \quad \left(p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta} \right)$$

Moreover, if $s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \sim \frac{c}{n^{1/p}}$ then

$$s_n(H_{\bar{\phi}}) \sim \frac{c}{n^{1/p}} \|\phi'\|_p.$$

Theorem

Let $\beta \geq 0$ and let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ be a radial weight such that $\tau_\omega^2(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{2+\beta}$. Then,

$$s_n(H_\phi) = O(1/n^{1/p}) \iff \phi' \in H^p \quad \left(p = \frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta} \right)$$

Moreover, if $s_n(H_{\bar{z}}) \sim \frac{c}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ then

$$s_n(H_\phi) \sim \frac{c}{n^{1/p}} \|\phi'\|_p.$$

In the case of the classical Bergman space A^2 ($\alpha = 0$).

- ▶ M. Dostanic (2004) proved that if ϕ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then $s_n(H_\phi) \sim \frac{\|\phi'\|_1}{n}$.
- ▶ Engliš and Rochberg (2009) proved that if $\phi' \in H^1$, then H_ϕ is in the Dixmier class and that the Dixmier trace is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(|H_\phi|) = \|\phi'\|_1.$$

The proof uses some ideas of M. Dostanic's proof, a result on asymptotic spectral orthogonality due to Birman and Solomyak (see the paper by A. Pushnitski : Spectral asymptotics for Toeplitz operators and an application to banded matrices, (2018)) and the theorem of trace estimates of Hankel operators.

Thank you for your attention.